

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : G06F 17/60		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/06915																																																																																																																
			(43) International Publication Date: 9 March 1995 (09.03.95)																																																																																																																
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB93/01866</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 3 September 1993 (03.09.93)</p> <p>(71) Applicant (<i>for all designated States except US</i>): CHRYSALIS MANAGEMENT SERVICES LIMITED [GB/GB]; Glenmarkie Lodge, Glenisla, Perthshire PH11 8QB (GB).</p> <p>(72) Inventor; and</p> <p>(75) Inventor/Applicant (<i>for US only</i>): CURNOW, Raymond, Charles [GB/GB]; 63B Pottergate, Norwich NR2 1DY (GB).</p> <p>(74) Agent: PACITTI, Pierpaolo, A., M., E.; Murgitroyd and Company, 373 Scotland Street, Glasgow G5 8QA (GB).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CH, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, US, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i></p>																																																																																																																	
<p>(54) Title: PRODUCT ASSEMBLY SYSTEM</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>There is described a method and a system for compiling a product specification. The invention has application in product manufacture wherein the product is made up of a number of optional components. The components are arranged in groups made up of a number of related components from which selection is to be made. The product and the system provide the user with means for making up the final product.</p> <table border="1" style="float: right; margin-right: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>OPTION</th> <th>SCREEN</th> <th>GOTO SCREEN</th> <th>GOTO CONDITIONALITY</th> <th>SCREEN CONDITIONALITY</th> <th>NOTES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>M1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>NOT IF B2</td> <td rowspan="3">MODEL OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M2</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>NOT IF B2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M3</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>4 IF (B2 OR B4) OR T3</td> <td>(ONLY IF B2 OR B4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(NEITHER IF D1 OR D2)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>T1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td rowspan="3">TRIM QUALITY OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T2</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>T3</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>B1</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>NOT IF M3</td> <td rowspan="4">BODY COLOUR (CHOOSE 1)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>PREDICATES M3</td> <td>NOT IF M1 OR M2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B3</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>NOT IF M3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B4</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>EXIT IF M1 AND T1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>D1</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>NOT IF M3</td> <td rowspan="8">DASHBOARD OPTIONS (CHOOSE 0-3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D2</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>NOT IF M3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>NOT IF M3 AND B1*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D4</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>D5</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>D6</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>D7</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>ONLY IF M3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D8</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>ONLY IF M3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EXIT</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>SPECIFICATION COMPLETE</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				OPTION	SCREEN	GOTO SCREEN	GOTO CONDITIONALITY	SCREEN CONDITIONALITY	NOTES	M1	1	2		NOT IF B2	MODEL OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)	M2	1	2		NOT IF B2	M3	1	3	4 IF (B2 OR B4) OR T3	(ONLY IF B2 OR B4)					(NEITHER IF D1 OR D2)		T1	2	3			TRIM QUALITY OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)	T2	2	3			T3	2	3			B1	3	4		NOT IF M3	BODY COLOUR (CHOOSE 1)	B2	3	4	PREDICATES M3	NOT IF M1 OR M2	B3	3	4		NOT IF M3	B4	3	4	EXIT IF M1 AND T1		D1	4	5		NOT IF M3	DASHBOARD OPTIONS (CHOOSE 0-3)	D2	4	5		NOT IF M3	D3	4	5		NOT IF M3 AND B1*	D4	4	5			D5	4	5			D6	4	5			D7	4	5		ONLY IF M3	D8	4	5		ONLY IF M3	EXIT	5				SPECIFICATION COMPLETE
OPTION	SCREEN	GOTO SCREEN	GOTO CONDITIONALITY	SCREEN CONDITIONALITY	NOTES																																																																																																														
M1	1	2		NOT IF B2	MODEL OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)																																																																																																														
M2	1	2		NOT IF B2																																																																																																															
M3	1	3	4 IF (B2 OR B4) OR T3	(ONLY IF B2 OR B4)																																																																																																															
				(NEITHER IF D1 OR D2)																																																																																																															
T1	2	3			TRIM QUALITY OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)																																																																																																														
T2	2	3																																																																																																																	
T3	2	3																																																																																																																	
B1	3	4		NOT IF M3	BODY COLOUR (CHOOSE 1)																																																																																																														
B2	3	4	PREDICATES M3	NOT IF M1 OR M2																																																																																																															
B3	3	4		NOT IF M3																																																																																																															
B4	3	4	EXIT IF M1 AND T1																																																																																																																
D1	4	5		NOT IF M3	DASHBOARD OPTIONS (CHOOSE 0-3)																																																																																																														
D2	4	5		NOT IF M3																																																																																																															
D3	4	5		NOT IF M3 AND B1*																																																																																																															
D4	4	5																																																																																																																	
D5	4	5																																																																																																																	
D6	4	5																																																																																																																	
D7	4	5		ONLY IF M3																																																																																																															
D8	4	5		ONLY IF M3																																																																																																															
EXIT	5				SPECIFICATION COMPLETE																																																																																																														

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
RJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

- 1 -

PRODUCT ASSEMBLY SYSTEM

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to a method of compiling a product specification and to a product assembly system.

5 Background of the Invention

Nearly all specifications of man-made objects are available in a wide variety, i.e. they include the choice of different features or options. Examples are the specification of an automobile where variety of the basic model can include the compulsory presence or absence of features
10 according to the country of registration, as well as features such as alternative engines, automatic versus manual gearbox, colour and nature of the upholstery and other trim, body colour and a number of optional accessories. Another example is the specification of an insurance contract for commercial premises, where cover may be required for any
15 goods, stock, raw material, work in progress, cash, building fabric and contents to guard against a choice of risks to various degrees of protection.

It is in the nature of such variety that the designer(s) of a family of car models, or indeed the designer(s) of the rules and regulations
20 governing the pattern of an insurance contract which a given insurer will permit, builds into his kit of parts sufficient variety that many foreseeable combinations of market requirements are catered for. Yet it is also true that all possible combinations of variety are not available. For instance, some options come as alternatives to each

- 2 -

other - it is usually a case of either an automatic gearbox or a manual gearbox, covering goods-in-transit risk or not. Yet other options are truly optional, for example the listing of specific items of high value for which full replacement value might be required but which fall in 5 predefined categories e.g. jewelry, pictures, coin or stamp collections, and, in the case of an automobile, additional fog lights or decals or choice of in-car entertainment. Sometimes options are truly optional but restricted to a maximum number of options within a class, for example extra instruments to fit on a necessarily restricted 10 dashboard, or a maximum number of a very high value items listed without incurring an individually negotiated premium. Variety, after all, is a means of offering customer choice but without the expense of individual negotiation and costing in each case.

When a specification has been agreed by, say, a main dealer in the case 15 of an automobile, or by an insurance consultant in the case of insurance, that specification has to be used to produce, in the case of an automobile, a bill of materials (structured list of parts) for the assembly line, and, in the case of an insurance contract, a contract policy consisting of the paragraphs of text and premium calculations 20 appropriate to that particular specification. In both cases, the parts or paragraph appropriate to one particular feature of the specification may be totally independent of any other feature of the specification, but in other cases particular paragraphs or parts may be conditioned by having to take into account combinations of the features specified. 25 Examples in the case of an automobile might be an additional fog light as opposed to the parts dictated by both automatic gearbox and a more powerful engine having been chosen. In the case of an insurance

- 3 -

contract, the nature of the business might dictate a special sub-clause if perishable goods in transit were also insured, for example.

The order in which the features/aspects/options of a specification are described is not relevant - it is the whole set of such descriptors which makes up a specification. However, the order in which features are presented for choice by a client is often dictated by convention or usual practice. Thus in the case of a complicated manufactured product, it would be natural for the design engineer(s) to consider the major variations first, then less major and finally the more minor variations. That degree of major-to-minor is not, however, necessarily correlated with the resultant complexity of components to be associated with each variant. In the case of an insurance contract, each major insurer has developed over the years familiar sequences of opening up the options by groups of options and successions of groups, as well as proffering more detailed sub-options when a more major option has been chosen.

It is this complexity of options structure, which rarely conforms to what is known mathematically as a tree structure, which has bedeviled the derivation of a highly specialised computer package for capturing option structure and hence specification choice. The problem is not mathematically trivial, being difficult to specify in the appropriate branch of mathematics known as propositional logic. Any fixed structure of options can be represented in a wide variety of approaches to capturing the logical connections, but in the two applications areas of manufactured product and insurance contracts represented above, and in many other applications, there is often a need in time to modify the

- 4 -

logical connections and to introduce new options with their own logical connections. Such updating and maintenance can tax the effort required unless the original design has been conceived with that maintenance and updating requirement in mind. Yet again, a common problem in both the application areas designated, and others, is the need to maintain dated versions of both the options structure and the database containing "parts" appropriate to each dated version of the option structure. This is a particularly strong requirement for manufactured products given the necessity to recreate a true bill of material for each serially numbered product variant, and is increasingly recognised as a complete traceability requirement for all products and services under ISO 9000.

Summary of the Invention

One aspect of the invention provides a method of compiling a product specification by selecting from a plurality of optional components arranged in groups, each group comprising a plurality of related components of which any number from a predetermined minimum to a predetermined maximum may be selected, the availability for selection of at least some of the components being dependent on those components already selected, the method comprising:

- 20 (a) displaying a list identifying the available groups of components, and, in response to selection of a group;
- (b) displaying a list identifying the components in the selected group;

- 5 -

- (c) in response to selection of a component, determining the next group to be selected according to the components already selected and determining which components in the next group are available for selection in combination with those already selected; and
- 5 (d) either, displaying a list identifying the components for selection in said next group and then repeating step (c) or, if no further components remain to be selected, outputting a specification comprising all the components selected.
- Another aspect of the invention provides a product assembly system,
10 comprising a computer housing display means, processing means, data input means, and assembly means controlling the assembly of the product, the computer having stored therein data representing a plurality of optional components arranged in groups, each group comprising a plurality of related components of which any number from
15 predetermined minimum to a predetermined maximum may be selected, and data identifying the availability for selection of the components in dependence on those components already selected, and the processing means being controlled by a program to process the data in order to perform the following steps:
- 20 (a) displaying via the display means a list identifying the available groups of components, and, in response to selection via the data input means of a group;
- (b) displaying via the display means a list identifying the components in the selected group;

- 6 -

- (c) in response to selection of a component via the date input means determining the next group to be selected according to the components already selected and determining which components in the next group are available for selection in combination with those already selected; and
- 5 (d) either, displaying via the display means a list identifying the components for selection in said next group and then repeating step (c) or, if no further components remain to be selected, outputting to the assembly means a specification comprising all the components selected to control assembly of the product.
- 10 Preferably, a step (b1) follows on from step (b) and comprises determining which of the other components in the group may be selected in combination with the selected component or components and step (d) comprises either displaying a list identifying the said other components in the group and repeating steps (b1) and (c) or, if no
- 15 components remain in the group, determining the next group to be selected according to the components already selected and determining which components in the next group are available for selection in combination with those already selected.
- 20 Preferably, the computer also has stored therein data representing sub-components and the requirements such sub-components in each component, and the processing means is controlled by the program to identify for each selected component which sub-components are required and to output to the assembly means a specification in which the sub-component for each component are set out.

- 7 -

It will be appreciated that the components may be parts of a manufactured product such as a motor vehicle, or components (clauses) of an insurance policy or the like.

It follows from the above that a packaged program to handle options structure has a minimum four basic elements. First and foremost, it has an options-plus-linkages database (the OLDB), and directly associated with it the second element, which is the parts and qualifiers database (the PQDB). The third element is that of the option screens interface (the OSI), and the fourth element is that of an algorithmic engine (the AE) which performs any type of arithmetic and numeric manipulation/comparison required in an application.

A final element consists of various utilities, including editing, printing, screen formatting features, operating system linkers etc.

The relationship between the four major elements is critical to the efficiency of the system both in operation and in maintenance. Fundamentally the user interface, i.e. screens presented for options choice, is entirely generated from the options and linkage database. The reason for this is simple - any changes to the OLDB (a not infrequent occurrence in the initial attempts to capture a given option structure as well as being occasioned when options or linkages are changed) are likely to change either or both of the order of screen presentation or screen contents. Put another way, screen presentation is relatively arbitrary, the options linkage database is not. In a similar way, screens requiring data which have to be manipulated numerically or mathematically can have associated dedication of keys,

- 8 -

that dedication being specific to screens. On the other hand, the parts database is independent of the options database, except that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the part qualifiers (though not if multiple qualifications are required) and the features 5 (qualifications) which are presented as options. Integration of the main elements is achieved by various verification techniques and positive prompting if any editing change is not completed in the required detail.

Incorporated in the utilities element are facilities for adding 10 permanent specific textual or other information, plus import/export facilities via ASCII files - in this way the options system can be embedded into other administrative systems.

Example

Reference is made to the Figure, which illustrates diagrammatically the 15 database structure usable in one example of the product assembly system of the invention. The basic structure consists of a list (though shown as ordered by screen presentation number for convenience), and the essential fields of information are under the headings OPTION, SCREEN, GO TO SCREEN, GO TO CONDITIONALITY and SCREEN CONDITIONALITY for each 20 OPTION item on that list.

Taking the first group of MODEL OPTIONS, it will be observed that there is a choice of one and one only of the three MODELS labelled M1 M2 M3 (there is no significance though perhaps convenience in this labelling M1 M2 M3). The choice pattern, in this case one and one only, is

- 9 -

achieved by a familiar device or algorithm setting the maximum and minimum number of choices to be made on a screen when presented for choice, in this case MIN=MAX=1.

The whole group of M1 M2 M3 options is conveniently presented on a single screen (or screen continuation) and if for convenience this screen is presented first in choosing the various options which go to make up a specification, then the third field of information, the GO TO SCREEN, indicates the SCREEN which will be presented if a given choice is made. Thus if M1 is chosen, SCREEN 2 will be presented, likewise if 5 M2 is chosen. However, if M3 is chosen, the example shown indicates that the next screen to be presented is SCREEN 3, and this caters for the possibility that a choice of MODEL M3 totally predicates the choice 10 of the TRIM options T1 T2 T3. It is not necessary to indicate this in the options - linkages list or database, since M3 in this case is 15 logically equivalent to M3 AND B3 (for example).

The fourth field of information GO TO CONDITIONALITY modifies the GO TO SCREEN field dynamically as the specification (string of options defining the specification) is evolved i.e. chosen. For example, the GO TO CONDITIONALITY field associated with BODY COLOUR B4, states that 20 if M1 and T1 have already been chose, choice is no longer available in the group of options known as DASHBOARD OPTIONS which as a result of the choice of M1 AND T1 AND B4 has now become predetermined. The first advantage of the use of a GO TO CONDITIONALITY field is that multiple representation of options via their multiple representation on 25 different screens can be totally obviated. In other words, options which can be arrived at as a result of different previous sub-

- 10 -

specification paths need only been listed once in the options-linkage list. This has clear cut advantages both for updating and maintaining that list.

The second advantage of the GO TO CONDITIONALITY field is associated
5 with the presence also of the fifth field SCREEN CONDITIONALITY. This
fifth field by itself has advantages. The first advantage is that
should a user wish to determine his options in a different order than
that suggested in the original list i.e. MODEL followed by TRIM
followed by BODY COLOUR followed by DASHBOARD OPTIONS (a different
10 order of choice being made available by choosing from a list of option
groups before beginning individual option selection), then those
options which are not compatible with individual option choices as they
are made will now not appear. In the example illustrated a choice of
B2 by itself will predetermine M3, as would a choice of B4. This would
15 in the illustrated example immediately lead to screen 4, having itself
predetermined a choice of TRIM.

The second advantage of the SCREEN CONDITIONALITY field is well
illustrated by such entries as associated with D1, D2, D3, and D7 and
D8. In these cases the actual composition of the subgroups can vary
20 dynamically as choices evolve.

Examination of the illustrated Example shows internal self-checking of
the captured predicate logic at work. For example the GO TO
CONDITIONALITY field associated with M1 and M2 are mirrored in the GO
TO CONDITIONALITY field of B2. A counter example is that of the GO TO
25 CONDITIONALITY field of D3, asterisked*, which is not consistent with

- 11 -

that of M3 and that of B1. In the case of complex rules and regulations, the detection of such inconsistencies is of great importance in the order that a specification can be completed without reference to authority external and higher than that associated with
5 the specification system laid down.

It should be noted that both the go to conditionality and the screen conditionality fields can handle multiple conditionalities. It should further be noted that changes to screen composition may well force changes to the min-max choice parameters associated with that screen,
10 and since this can be incorporated algorithmically, this adds additional power and flexibility to the representation of complex logical relationships and choice.

- 12 -

CLAIMS

1. A method of compiling a product specification by selecting from a plurality of optional components arranged in groups, each group comprising a plurality of related components of which any number from 5 a predetermined minimum to a predetermined maximum may be selected, the availability for selection of at least some of the components being dependent on those components already selected, the method comprising:
 - (a) displaying a list identifying the available groups of components, and, in response to selection of a group;
 - 10 (b) displaying a list identifying the components in the selected group;
 - (c) in response to selection of a component, determining the next group to be selected according to the components already selected and determining which components in the next group are available for 15 selection in combination with those already selected; and
 - (d) either, displaying a list identifying the components for selection in said next group and then repeating step (c) or, if no further components remain to be selected, outputting a specification comprising all the components selected.
- 20 2. A method according to Claim 1, wherein a step (b1) follows on from step (b) and comprises determining which of the other components in the group may be selected in combination with the selected component or

- 13 -

components and step (d) comprises either displaying a list identifying the said other components in the group and repeating steps (b1) and (c) or, if no components remain in the group, determining the next group to be selected according to the components already selected and 5 determining which components in the next group are available for selection in combination with those already selected.

3. A product assembly system, comprising a computer housing display means, processing means, data input means, and assembly means controlling the assembly of the product, the computer having stored 10 therein data representing a plurality of optional components arranged in groups, each group comprising a plurality of related components of which any number from predetermined minimum to a predetermined maximum may be selected, and data identifying the availability for selection of the components in dependence on those components already selected, and 15 the processing means being controlled by a program to process the data in order to perform the following steps:

- (a) displaying via the display means a list identifying the available groups of components, and, in response to selection via the data input means of a group;
- 20 (b) displaying via the display means a list identifying the components in the selected group;
- (c) in response to selection of a component via the date input means determining the next group to be selected according to the components already selected and determining which components in the next group are

- 14 -

available for selection in combination with those already selected; and

(d) either, displaying via the display means a list identifying the components for selection in said next group and then repeating step (c) or, if no further components remain to be selected, outputting to the
5 assembly means a specification comprising all the components selected to control assembly of the product.

4. A system according to Claim 3, wherein a step (b1) follows on from step (b) and comprises determining which of the other components in the group may be selected in combination with the selected component or
10 components and step (d) comprises either displaying a list identifying the said other components in the group and repeating steps (b1) and (c) or, if no components remain in the group, determining the next group to be selected according to the components already selected and determining which components in the next group are available for
15 selection in combination with those already selected.

5. A system according to Claim 3 or 4, wherein the computer also has stored therein data representing sub-components and the requirements for such sub-components in each component, and the processing means is controlled by the program to identify for each selected component which
20 sub-components are required and to output to the assembly means a specification in which the sub-component for each component are set out.

6. A system according to Claim 3, 4 or 5, wherein the assembly means is a product assembly line, the product specification being used to

- 15 -

control delivery of components and/or sub-components to the assembly line and assembly of the product from said components.

7. A system according to Claim 3, 4 or 5 wherein the assembly means comprises a document printer to print a document comprising the
5 selected components.

8. A product assembly system, substantially as described with reference to the Figure.

1/1

OPTION	SCREEN	GOTO SCREEN	GOTO CONDITIONALITY	SCREEN CONDITIONALITY	NOTES
M1	1	2		NOT IF B2	MODEL OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)
M2	1	2		NOT IF B2	
M3	1	3	4 IF (B2 OR B4) OR T3	(ONLY IF B2 OR B4)	
				(NEITHER IF D1 OR D2)	
T1	2	3			TRIM QUALITY OPTIONS (CHOOSE 1)
T2	2	3			
T3	2	3			
B1	3	4		NOT IF M3	BODY COLOUR (CHOOSE 1)
B2	3	4	PREDICATES M3	NOT IF M1 OR M2	
B3	3	4		NOT IF M3	
B4	3	4	EXIT IF M1 AND T1		
D1	4	5		NOT IF M3	DASHBOARD OPTIONS (CHOOSE 0-3)
D2	4	5		NOT IF M3	
D3	4	5		NOT IF M3 AND B1*	
D4	4	5			
D5	4	5			
D6	4	5			
D7	4	5		ONLY IF M3	
D8	4	5		ONLY IF M3	
EXIT	5				SPECIFIC- ATION COMPLETE

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern: 1 Application No
PCT/GB 93/01866

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 G06F17/60

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
E	GB,A,2 264 797 (CURNOW) 8 September 1993 see the whole document ---	1-8
X	WO,A,91 00576 (EXPROCAD) 10 January 1991 see page 4, line 12 - line 22 ---	1-8
X	INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PRODUCTION RESEARCH. vol. 25, no. 11 , November 1987 , UK pages 1645 - 1658 E.A. VAN VEEN & J.C. WORTMANN 'Generic bills of material in assemble-to-order manufacturing' see page 1654, line 15 - line 23 ---	1-8
X	US,A,5 241 464 (GREULICH ET AL.) 31 August 1993 see column 3, line 23 - line 31 ---	1-8
	-/-	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 April 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

24.05.94

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Büro, S.P.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORTIntern. Application No
PCT/GB 93/01866**C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP,A,0 520 927 (IBM) 30 December 1992 see the whole document ---	1-8
A	WO,A,93 06555 (ANDERSEN CONSULTING) 1 April 1993 see page 9, line 12 - page 10, line 9; claims 10,58 -----	1-8

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Intern'l Application No
PCT/GB 93/01866

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
GB-A-2264797	08-09-93	NONE		
WO-A-9100576	10-01-91	AU-A- GB-A-	5856190 2234097	17-01-91 23-01-91
US-A-5241464	31-08-93	NONE		
EP-A-0520927	30-12-92	JP-A-	5151229	18-06-93
WO-A-9306555	01-04-93	US-A- AU-A-	5260866 2672492	09-11-93 27-04-93

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)